## **Transcript**

June 10, 2024, 10:33PM

- □ **DePalma, Michael DePalma** started transcription
- CH Cynthia Hilton 0:04
  Thank you.

Thank you everyone for participating and.

- GD Goodman, David 0:06 So.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 0:11

Part of me really wishes we were doing this in person.

We'll get to that in a minute, but I do want to ask, and I'm gonna be looking at you, Michael, cause the last time I was kind of having to be in charge of a virtual meeting whenever we had votes.

We had to do umm, uh, you know, calm down the list and have individual people say yes or no.

Is that still the requirement or can we just say how many in favor how many? I mean, clearly anybody could ask for a recorded vote, but.

If we have a show of hands, whatever is that, is that gonna we, you know? I just if I don't have to take time in recording everyone's thing, I would. I would prefer to not have to do that unless someone asks for it.

DD DePalma, Michael DePalma 1:03

Uh, yeah.

I mean, I am in the background trying to take the attendance.

So once I get that done, we can go ahead and move forward through all the different motions that you have.

So you just give me a second to do that and then that?

CH Cynthia Hilton 1:20 OK.

OK.

And again, my question for the group is when we get to motions, if we need to have a roll call for every vote.

So if some of you are better on Robert's rules of order, let me know, but I would prefer to not have to take time doing that if we don't have to.

So let me just start off with umm chairs report with some recognitions.

So with a full heart, I wanna we are going to be losing two members at the end of this school year and that's uh, Rosa and Steve, they have served out their six year. And, you know, terms terms three terms and if we were in person, you would have gotten a bunch of my cookies, but I I owe you all cookies. And.

GD Goodman, David 2:10
Their sentence?

## CH Cynthia Hilton 2:20

Yeah

And I just.

I just wanna say particularly true to Rosa.

Who's been such a mentor?

Big shoes to fill.

I'm.

I'm really grateful and and hope you know our paths cross again.

Is there any like last words of advice that you want to pass on?

## RC Rosa Cheney 2:43 No.

# CH Cynthia Hilton 2:44 No.

## RC Rosa Cheney 2:45

It was.

It was uh.

I enjoyed working, you know, with staff Umm and working on this committee. So look, I'm glad that it kind of looks like it's come back alive. You know, since the since the pandemic, we sort of lost a lot of Members and you know, but it does seem like it's got some healthy input again.

So I think I'm I think you guys would be good.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 3:13

All right.

Well, thank you and uh, Steve may or may not be able to join later, and if he does and and I see him over there on the list will give him a chance to say something. Now I don't see that Mary is on the list.

Is that right, Michael?

## DD DePalma, Michael DePalma 3:31

Yeah, that's correct.

I just went ahead and checked that and she is not in attendance.

### CH Cynthia Hilton 3:36

OK, if she joins, we will.

We will pause and give her a thank you.

OK, so I wanna say that since we last met, I'm sure you've heard the the FY25, maybe I've sent out a note budget you know was approved by the board and in the end APS got additional funds and they ended up with about a \$3.5 million surplus.

As you know, one of our recommendations is if they found more money that that they would please not cut the MCMM budget as much as they had, you know, they could stead of 1.5 million maybe you know, half a million whatever, but regrettably that additional money was used mainly for.

For staff compensation stuff.

Nevertheless, I just wanna do a shout out to the fact staff because there's still an awful lot, and for anyone who's been listening in to any of these public meetings the last couple of days, an awful lot to do with not as many resources as we would like to provide to you.

The next update is as I think you all know, or knew that JFAC and FAC presented recommendations on the Superintendent CIP.

As an informational item at this at had a work session on June 4th and our recommendations were.

Since that time I have been the beneficiary of compliments to our recommendations

and people really grateful that we've made them so.

Once again, this was a group effort.

So you know, I want to thank everyone for your contributions.

Umm staff, also staff presented the CIP and their recommendations to the school board on the 6th and unless I'm mistaken, I clearly heard you say that you are embracing Option 2 for the MPSA move, which is one of our recommendations. So grateful to that and final action by the school board on the whole, CIP is to take place on the 20th.

If you're not doing anything that evening and want to listen in.

That is, I think all my reports stuff again, we're gonna try to be more mindful of Roberts rules of order.

I'll try to pay attention if hands go up to recognize you.

But if I fail all that, you know, shout out so the 1st order of business is gonna be to approve the Minutes.

So I would like to make a motion, umm, that there that's open for discussion.

Does does and Sally has a comment?

So Sally, you're recognized.

### SH Sally Hoekstra 6:50

How I was just.

I was just motioning to approve. Sorry.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 6:55

Ohh, we're not quite that.

We're discussion yet, but Sally, when we get back to approve, I'm calling on you.

### SH Sally Hoekstra 6:58 OK.

# CH Cynthia Hilton 7:00 OK.

So does anybody have any edits or corrections that they would like to recommend to the Minutes?

And seeing no hands in the air, I apologize to everyone.

First of all, I wanna thank Hans for writing them and I do admit I did read them at

advance, but folks, I I found one typo 2 editing thingies like a separation of paragraphs, a period that needs to be at the end of something and maybe I'm willing to to not say we should do this, but it's kind of a maybe substantive thing and I just wonder what the group thinks other than I think you all want the words to be right and some of those, you know those 3.

Editorial things I'd like to fix of the maybe substantive thing is under number. Can you bring up the minutes, Michael?

## DD DePalma, Michael DePalma 8:01

Yeah.

Just give me one SEC.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 8:02

Yeah.

OK.

So I'll just keep talking until he gets them up there, but it's under item number one. Second bullet.

This is again the chairs report, and it's just an omission and maybe it's not that important, but it's talking about what I was presenting and two things are missing. One is that I had mentioned there you see this this first paragraph.

Cynthia briefly.

OK.

I had also mentioned that a number of new documents had been posted to our resource page because I want you all to know that there's a lot of good information there about what we've done and also that you were all invited to the groundbreaking for the Career Center.

Umm, so I have a specific sentence.

It's a finally, she reported that a new documents had been added to the resource page and that members were invited to the May 16th.

We hadn't named it then, so ACC groundbreaking.

Umm, so that's the substantive thing.

That I'd like to add and then the typo, Michael, if you go down to #6, there's a parenthetical that starts students who go to.

It's not.

Choose schools as choice.

And then there needs to be a return between, Mary clarified.

And that that's two paragraphs there.

And then the other typo is if you go to this is in nine.

This is the right above the revised motion where it says it's in bold revised motion.

So the sentence right above go, so you need to scroll scroll up and you'll see something revised motion.

Scroll keep going.

Keep going.

Keep going.

It's under 9, so you gotta keep going.

Keep going.

DePalma, Michael DePalma 10:24

The other way, the other the other way you know.

CH Cynthia Hilton 10:24

Keep going.

No, it's revised motion keep.

DePalma, Michael DePalma 10:30

You saying scroll up?

CH Cynthia Hilton 10:32

Ohh, I meant Scroll down.

So you're in nine revised motion.

DePalma, Michael DePalma 10:34

OK, for her this is nice.

CH Cynthia Hilton 10:38

Keep going.

Keep going.

Keep going.

Keep going.

Keep going.

- DePalma, Michael DePalma 10:42 Ohh OK.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 10:42

Revise there.

Revise motion stock you need.

Ohh, it didn't come out in my never mind.

OK, my printer didn't have some.

Forget that one, then.

The only other question that I have is that we talked to, we talked about up in seven.

We had public speakers and we talked about refer to their written remarks.

I would think that we would attach their written remarks to this document and the question for you, Michael is, do you have a copy of the written remarks?

OK, I will.

- DePalma, Michael DePalma 11:16
  No.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 11:18

OK, so group with I would like to recommend those changes.

I have a copy of the written remarks.

I will send Michael a copy of the written remarks and and I would as part of my edits that I would like a motion.

Sally, back to you.

To append their remarks to these minutes.

Sally, you want to make a motion?

SH Sally Hoekstra 11:46

I make a motion.

To approve to and my motion to amend or to approve.

Cynthia Hilton 11:53

Uh, your your motion should be to approve the minutes as amended.

- SH Sally Hoekstra 11:57

  To approve that yes, motion to approve the Minutes as a as amended.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 12:03
  All right.
  Thank you.
  Uh, all in favor say aye.
- Rasmussen, Adam 12:07 Need a second.
- RC Rosa Cheney 12:07 Alright and 2nd.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 12:08
  I ohhh I need a second.
- KG Kateri Garcia 12:09 Hi.
- RA Rasmussen, Adam 12:11 Sorry, I'll do that.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 12:13
- RA Rasmussen, Adam 12:14 I'll second.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 12:15
  OK.
  Thank you.

Thank you.

Alright.

All in favor say aye.

- RC Rosa Cheney 12:20 Alright.
- Matt Thoman 12:21 Uh.
- Cynthia Hilton 12:21

  I OK now is your chance.
- RA Rasmussen, Adam 12:21
- GD Goodman, David 12:22 Aye.
- HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 12:23
- KG Kateri Garcia 12:24

## CH Cynthia Hilton 12:25

Anybody who is a no, we're all gonna be quiet to see if anybody's hand goes up or anybody wants to say no or any other.

All right.

So I would say that it was they are approved as amended and I will send you the other a comments. Alrighty.

OK.

So we're up to new business and normally new business is at the end, but that's where it is.

So I had sent out an advance or you got from Michael.

The fact that we have this, umm, our prevailing wage resolution that is gonna be

voted on soon and the public is invited to come in and since it has implications for what we do, I figured that we should take, you know sometime to look at it and see if any of you have comments.

I mean, even if we file a comment saying we support this, you know, often you only hear from the naysayers.

But if I'm really happy to have a submit a comment that says we're all on board, but I'm shutting up to see if again I can I make a motion or I can invite a motion that that someone would like to, you know, discuss this.

Anybody have any ohh Hans, go ahead.

## Hans Bauman (He/Him) 13:52

Yeah.

So first of all, state just categorically that I'm in favor of the of the.

Proposal here.

However, what's really kind of difficult for me is I I've no sense that the budget impacts and how that might impact our ability to fund capital projects.

So without some sense of how this might impact project costs, I don't know whether. Fact I don't know.

As a fact member, I can really make a statement beyond my own political beliefs. Which aren't really relevant to the question I made.

Michael, you everything out on that?

## DePalma, Michael DePalma 14:29

I do.

But you only thing I have to say about it is that that price point of \$250,000.

# HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 14:30 Great.

### DePalma, Michael DePalma 14:34

So typically when we think of capital, capital projects are usually \$500,000 or more. So yes, Hans, this would directly impact all capital projects with which also could include MCM N So Mm-hmm.

In itself, even though it teeters between the two, would be impacted by this is as well.

What does that mean for the price?

III would go ahead and defer to, you know, Mr Chambers, to maybe add something to that.

### Chambers, Jeffrey 15:09

Yeah, I I I we have provided the board information and I think when we were looking at the height or the sorry Career Center, no.

Umm Hopper, center.

# CH Cynthia Hilton 15:23 Offer.

## Chambers, Jeffrey 15:25

Grace Hopper, center.

We were looking at that we we utilized consultants that we work with that work with both wage rate projects and non wage rate projects and they went through and did a full evaluation by contract and you know what is affected and basically their determination was that based on their experience and what they're seeing, it's about a 15% increase to do wage rates then non wage rate projects based on the information that they provided to me.

So that that's that's essentially what we have in information and I've done some other research and you're you're looking between 10 and 20%, which is right where we fell so.

## Hans Bauman (He/Him) 16:00

So you're.

So so Jeff, Jeff is that of total project cost that just?

## Chambers, Jeffrey 16:14

It will cost more.

It's basically no, it's of the labor rates, it's of the labor.

So it doesn't affect management or the generally the soft costs.

It only affects the the labor rates.

### Hans Bauman (He/Him) 16:29

Right.

So as a in a, I mean in in for instance, this Career Center project or the hopper project, it is labor.

You know, I in the school system, labor is whatever it is 85% of of the APS budget, right?

So that's pretty, that's a pretty big driver in these projects.

- CD Chambers, Jeffrey 16:45 Right.
- Hans Bauman (He/Him) 16:48

  Do we have a sense of how much that labor component, like what does that 15 percent, 20% translate to at a total project level?

Any sense of that?

Just ballpark, I'm pretty good idea.

Chambers, Jeffrey 17:00

Well, I again that the 15% essentially was the number that we were using on the projects of total.

- HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 17:11
  But what?
- Chambers, Jeffrey 17:11

It right, if you look at per contract it's it's, you know, some contracts are affected 0 and I believe if I'm recalling correct, I think it's a summer up to 29.8% increases.

- CH Cynthia Hilton 17:11 Uh.
- Chambers, Jeffrey 17:27
  So it's an average of about 15%, so you say 12 to 18 and you'd be in the ballpark.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 17:31
  Can I?

Thank you, Jeff.

Can I just say two things that I heard and then I'm gonna recognize cattery umm in listening to the board discussions of this?

I've OK the one thing that I've heard is that Arlington typically just cause of the area that we're in pays closer to prevailing wage.

And Jeff, you can tell me I'm wrong here.

Then I don't know if we lived in some other community so that it's not that we're really that far off from prevailing wage.

So I've heard that statement being made. And Jeff.

Yeah.

I mean, is that true or not?

And then the second thing I was gonna say to you, Hans, if you look at the the believe it's the superintendents slides on the sea IP where they have the costs for the MPSA move.

You know the three options, I think it around that place.

They have a slide that talks about.

How that would increase if they had to pay prevailing wages?

That right, Jeff, so that's another place you could go to see like a estimate of.

### Chambers, Jeffrey 18:51

Yeah, one one of the earlier presentations had uh wage rates and non wage rates for the MPSA center.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 18:55 Yeah.

## Chambers, Jeffrey 18:57

So you can go see what that is.

But based on our our understanding and the information that we've been provided, it's it's still gonna be, you know a a 12 to 18% increase.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 19:08 OK, Kettering.

## KG Kateri Garcia 19:13

Umm so I I was just saying I I'm.

Am I correct in understanding right that this would not actually affect the hopper center since it was done it?

It seems like it would be in that #3 category.

Is that correct or are there pieces of it that would?

## Chambers, Jeffrey 19:30

No, the Hopper Center has been bid.

It will only affect projects after that are bid after September 1st, but as as I understand it.

## KG Kateri Garcia 19:37

OK, got it.

I just wanted to make, I guess the question I didn't phrase particularly well. Are there components of that development that have not been awarded that it would fall under, or is that whole project completely finalized?

#### Chambers, Jeffrey 19:51

That for that project the the all three phases are bid under one contractor and awarded while the contract should be being signed this week.

## KG Kateri Garcia 19:57

And the.

### Chambers, Jeffrey 20:01

So yes, it will not or no, it will not affect the Grace Hopper center with regard to the new building, the renovations and parking structure to the legacy center as well as the field.

Anything in the future there, for instance, renovations of the legacy building or building the field would be subject to wage rates.

## KG Kateri Garcia 20:25

Got it.

OK.

Thank you.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 20:28

OK.

Anybody else have any questions?

Alrighty.

So again, I would like someone to offer an emotion or or I will that well anyway. So does someone want to make a motion about we provide comment and we could and exactly in your motion what you think we should be saying here in nonsense? You.

I'm gonna look to you.

Do you?

Do you have a motion that we can consider?

## Hans Bauman (He/Him) 21:09

You know I'm.

I'm I'm not feeling very make a motion.

I'm just gonna sit.

Put it that way, I I'm just not because I I guess I I'm.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 21:14

OK.

### Hans Bauman (He/Him) 21:16

I'm I.

I guess I felt almost feel like I understand the costing in increases, but I don't have my head around is what does that mean for our ability to fund MCMM projects and what does our ability to fund our our larger capital programs?

So I don't know what I get.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 21:32

OK, so so I'm gonna go out.

## HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 21:34

I don't know whether there's a we do it, there's staff, have A and maybe I just haven't

spent enough time with the materials at school burials.

I'm sorry, I I'm not ready to make a motion, so I'm gonna shut up.

CH Cynthia Hilton 21:42

OK, I'm gonna.

I'm gonna call on Sally.

SH Sally Hoekstra 21:46

So I mean, what was the what was the background as to why now we they wanna do prevailing wage?

Other than some community out, you know, because of what was going on with other things around this general area mean I.

DePalma, Michael DePalma 22:13

Yeah, let's let's go to Jeff on that.

I think he's gonna say that the alignment.

Chambers, Jeffrey 22:19

Yeah.

III think what in 2021 I believe the state legislator allowed municipalities and school districts to opt in to paying prevailing wage rates.

Arlington County was one of the first.

Uh in his palettes to opt in, they opted in shortly after it was passed.

Uh, and our state delegates really are pushing for it.

And I think that that the fact that we are not aligned with the county caused some consternation.

If you recall, we were bidding complete streets as part of our project.

The county board elected not to award it and do it after we build the Career Center because we did not have wage rates included in our.

CH Cynthia Hilton 23:01 Uh.

CJ Chambers, Jeffrey 23:11
Uh bid documents.

So it's really alignment I think is is the direction and and politics I I guess you could say.

DePalma, Michael DePalma 23:21

So Jeff can, can you talk about the complete streets and what happened with that?

Chambers, Jeffrey 23:26

OK, with complete streets, we spent about a year and put together a a memorandum of agreement with the county that we were going to.

They were going to pay for it, but we were going to bid it as part of this project so that it could all be done as part of the construction of the new building.

So that once the new building was done, the complete streets from 5th, 5th St down to Columbia Pike would have been fully done.

And also the reason we were kind of pushing to do it was because of the conflict, because we must build the building from the street and recollections of building the the Heights building when they put the park air, the bike lane in just before we demolished the old Wilson building.

Uh did not allow us to really build from Wilson Blvd.

And had a lot of additional cost overruns etcetera from times we had to get uh cranes to work on that building from the front.

And the fact that we have to do this whole building from the from Walter Reed, we we wanted to to do that plus it was more cost effective.

We didn't think that we would have to put in sidewalks and the county come in and tear them out and have those coordination because we were going to coordinate all of the storm water work.

The regrading of Walter Reed and all of that with our work.

So that's why the county at this point is opting to do it after we build the building.

- CH Cynthia Hilton 24:52 We'll see.
- Chambers, Jeffrey 24:57
  So it would just be more disruption for the community.
- DePalma, Michael DePalma 25:01

Yeah.

Can you reiterate that again though, Jeff, that last part that you said that now it's gonna be a project that takes place after our project, is that right?

CH Cynthia Hilton 25:01 OK.

## Chambers, Jeffrey 25:11

That is correct.

So once we finish the building or or towards the end of our project when we don't have the need for the street access.

We will, we will.

They will be doing the complete streets essentially.

We have to build out the curb.

There is one exception to that.

The 9th St Realignment and and Signalization will probably happen next summer.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 25:34 Let's talk.

### Chambers, Jeffrey 25:36

The county will bid that, which will also work with us having to rework the curb line on 9th basically for the bike lane that is going to be installed and the realignment so that we put our sidewalks in, they don't have to tear them out to put in the signalization, the underground wires and piping and all of that.

So we're gonna continue to work with them.

And the other thing is is when the building opens, we would like to really have that intersection signalized to allow much safer pedestrian and bicycles, you know, and as mixing with traffic.

# CH Cynthia Hilton 26:18 OK.

DePalma, Michael DePalma 26:18 So.

- CH Cynthia Hilton 26:18
  Thank you, Jeff.
- DePalma, Michael DePalma 26:19
  So what 111 thing, Cynthia?
- CH Cynthia Hilton 26:20 It can.
- DePalma, Michael DePalma 26:23

Is that in order for this to be avoided with Jeff just described is that we have to be in concert with the county.

So if any future projects that we have, we both have to be able to offer the prevailing wages.

So if we don't do that, then therefore we have to enter into a contract of our own, let's say, and then the county has to enter in a contract of around, then there could be these issues that come about.

So that's it.

- Cynthia Hilton 26:51 Right.
- DePalma, Michael DePalma 26:51 It's all I'm gonna say.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 26:51

That was that was actually one question I was gonna ask if someone wasn't in advance, which is are we in harmony?

You know, has the has the county already said all contracts, you know at this at we have the \$250,000 threshold is that aligned with whatever the county is doing from.

Chambers, Jeffrey 27:13

The county did the \$250,000 of small projects through whatever the accounting at

this point in time is also considering now doing project labor agreements, which are, umm, another layer on top of the wage rates.

#### CH Cynthia Hilton 27:30

OK, so anyway, getting back to people who have their hands up.

Michael, I don't wanna skip over you.

I know you had your hand up.

Did you want to say anything, and if not, we're gonna go to Kateri and then and then it will be Adam.

- DePalma, Michael DePalma 27:48
  Yeah, for.
- Kateri Garcia 27:48

  I I would like to make Michael speaking so.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 27:49
  So it's it's.
- DD DePalma, Michael DePalma 27:51

Freda,.

Yeah.

Freda, had his hand up first.

I think is what Cynthia is saying.

KG Kateri Garcia 27:55 OK.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 27:56

Ohh yeah.

Well, Michael Freda,. Yeah.

So Michael and yeah, Michael, you had your hand up so.

### Freda, Michael 28:03

Yeah, I yes, I just like to say is the person to handles all the finances for all the capital

programs.

The question was asked.

Well, well, how will this affect, you know, the school system, every dollar that's taken for these prevailing wages will be a dollar taken away from doing something in a school that can impact the children.

So yes, you will be giving funds to a private contractor to ensure their prevailing wages.

CH Cynthia Hilton 28:33 Ohh.

#### Freda, Michael 28:35

But yes, the IT will some some of them will not cost more, but some projects are going to cost more money doing this and it will be taking funds away from doing things in the school system which is I think the primary goal of the school system is for the children.

A second thing, and I guess I would ask Jeff to weigh in on this and I've heard this, I have no, it's anecdotal.

I don't have any background in, you know, evidence, but the \$250,000 there are small contractors that would maybe possibly not want to participate in these kinds of contracts with us because they do not have the resources to, umm, make sure that they're paying the prevailing wages.

# CH Cynthia Hilton 29:15 OK.

## Freda, Michael 29:26

Some of these small contractors are little literally a few few people.

I I think of Elite who does a lot of our interior work.

I you you don't know if they're not going to want to take the contracts anymore because they can handle all the administration.

So you know, is 250,000 a good number or maybe should it be aligned with the MCMM funds that are 500,000 and more which will allow these smaller contractors to continue to work in this school system where they may not want to do so?

## CH Cynthia Hilton 30:00

OK.

Thank you very much and I wanna be mindful.

Kateri.

You were gonna make a motion.

I heard you say.

Ohh, that's privileged.

You go ahead, make your motion and then and then we we still have to hear from Rosa.

# Kateri Garcia 30:10 Correct.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 30:13

And well, Adam first and then Rosa.

But you go make your motion.

## KG Kateri Garcia 30:18

OK, I make a motion that we write a letter.

Umm, I guess I would say.

Well, First off, Michael, I appreciate your your input.

I was gonna motion that we write a letter in support with comment.

Specifically, I I like that bit about 500,000 or more in alignment with the MCMM.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 30:41

Yeah.

## KG Kateri Garcia 30:45

And I also like saying that we support it because obviously we agree with, you know, prevailing wages.

And I don't know that whole line that was in the top part that I can't see anymore. But that we also, you know, want to make sure that that the APS is able to fulfill their obligation right to the Community and like essentially saying we agree with it but that we.

Would like that alignment with MCMM and that we also realized that this might hinder our ability to complete a lot of these projects and we obviously are concerned with that or we see that as a concerned.

Sorry, that was really good.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 31:26

OK.

And I I got good notes there.

Does someone can I get a second for a categories and then we will then again I'm gonna go back and we're gonna hear from everybody before we vote, but just.

## HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 31:40

I'll second that.

#### CH Cynthia Hilton 31:41

OK.

Thank you, Hans.

OK, so let's hear from Adam and then Rosa and then David.

#### RA Rasmussen, Adam 31:49

Sure, I said.

Two things.

One is that a question for Jeff going back to the, Umm, complete streets, what is the practical effect of that of the county boards?

Punishment of us not having prevailing wages in in our contract.

What's the practical effect and and is there a financial impact for us for because of that?

## Chambers, Jeffrey 32:14

I don't believe there's going to be a financial impact to APS.

I think the county again with escalation in cost, theoretically the price will be higher plus it'll be wage rates and non weight you know rather than non wage rates.

So I I don't know.

You know what the impact will be, but they will not be bidding that for at least a year.

So it will actually cost theoretically should cost more then then the bid we just received, which was a very competitive bid.

We actually had that as a a second base bid, so the contractors were were generally close on that.

So it was very competitive at that point in time.

- RA Rasmussen, Adam 33:00 OK.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 33:00 Alright, uh.
- RA Rasmussen, Adam 33:00 Thanks.

And then and then the second part is, yeah, I wanted to speak in, in support of us writing a letter.

Umm and my I I think that you know the well, I appreciate the fact that some small businesses might have more difficult and this is about paying wages and I I think a small company can pay the same wages that a big company can pay for the same for the same work.

So I'm not sure how that why a smaller company would be less likely to be able to pay it.

And then therefore not bid on the contract.

Yeah, because it's not a scale issue of like, you know, buying like products in bulk or anything like that.

It's it's, it's, it's about, you know, this basically paying the number of workers that you have working on a project and if you're small, you have less workers, most likely working on your projects.

And then, but I am concerned about changing it recommending 500 because then we might be out of alignment with the county once again, who has a 2 to \$50,000 and and so so that the positive impact of us being aligned with the county will be nullified if we if they if they consider the fact that we have a \$500,000 limit and say that we're not we're not in alignment with them.

Still.

OK.

So thank you very much.

And Jeff, I you have an answer to that, but I'm gonna let the other people go first in case there are more things that require your comment.

So David?

## GD Goodman, David 34:42

Yeah. Thanks.

Uh, just writing myself notes, so I didn't forget what I wanted to ask, so it seems like this is fascinating.

The whole prevailing wage thing, and I understand that, you know, people might have fundamental conceptual problems with prevailing wage, but you know, regardless, there's a reason why this law was created, right?

It was to help alleviate vast discrepancies in local wages and you know, we also play a role in that.

So there may be, you know, implications for that with our you know how much available is actually left to construct things.

You know, according to our mandate, but I, you know, I think we have a responsibility to not impede that ohm, my other, my other point is really just a question.

It seems like we're, you know, we're kind of at an impasse about where we think that threshold ought to be.

Just asking staff, I guess, do we feel that a better alignment with the county is more important or is better aligning with our MCMM funding thresholds more important? Umm.

That's what I'm wondering.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 36:04

OK.

So OK, Jeff, add that to your questions. Umm, Rosa.

## Rosa Cheney 36:11

Yeah, it seems like and I'm definitely for prevailing rates.

I mean looking at the link that Renee put in the chat, you know and then obviously Kathy followed up, but I think that there is, you know, Marilyn has 250,000 and above, you know, Virginia.

I guess that link says that Virginia, you know.

So Virginia State sort of said, hey, we, you know, adopt this if you want 250 is the the number.

And then that seems appropriate.

DC is 100,000, blah blah blah.

I don't know.

So I mean, it just seems like.

I don't know.

I I at first I was kind of on the fence with the 500,000, but I it seems like the 2:50 is, you know, seems reasonable.

And and certainly every institution that I've worked on on a projects on, on the design side requires prevailing rates for the construction.

So I don't know.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 37:18

Alrighty.

So and as OK, I just saw Zachary's hand go up again.

Zachary, if this if this is gonna leave, go ahead, go for it.

And then Jeff, we will get back to you to answer these things.

And Kateri.

I did see your comment as well.

We will.

You are the master of the motion, so any friendly changes you might want to make will be we will hear from you again at the very end.

OK, so Zach.

## Larnard, Zachary 37:49

Thank you.

And I I was just going to propose a motion that we accept.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 37:54

No, we already have it. We already have a motion.

- Larnard, Zachary 37:56
  OK, so I can't do another one.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 37:56
  We already have a motion.
  No, you cannot right now. OK.
- Larnard, Zachary 37:58 OK.

Well, I would say then that I am in favor of the 250,000.

CH Cynthia Hilton 38:04 OK.

Jeff, can you respond to the things that you've heard?

Chambers, Jeffrey 38:10 Yeah.

III think that the first thing was with regard to contractors not doing prevailing wages.

It's not necessarily about what they're paying, it's the administrative paperwork that has to go with that for, for instance, the the one small contractor who who basically it was he and his wife, his wife has passed away.

They do a lot of our 500 and \$1000 in under projects, he said.

He would not do anything.

Umm, that would do wage rates because he just can't manage that.

That's not he doesn't do that paperwork.

And and the management of that, no matter what he pays people it, he just doesn't. There there is paperwork that's required with that.

In fact, APS will probably have to hire people to audit and to manage the wage rates because we will be the authority.

- CH Cynthia Hilton 39:01 O.
- Chambers, Jeffrey 39:02
  So we will have to basically make sure that the wages are paid.
  So there's a paper trail that has to to go with that.
  Umm, So what was the other question?
- Cynthia Hilton 39:17
  Ah, it was.
  I think I think I Rosa was.
  Was it you that had a question out that there were two or was it?
- Kateri Garcia 39:27
  Any outstanding questions?
  How about that?
- Cthambers, Jeffrey 39:30
  I think it was tons.
  Hans, was it your question?
  Now yours was the this fall.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 39:34 No, no, no, it wasn't.
- GD Goodman, David 39:34

  It was a I think it was the IT was the question about the appropriateness of the thresholds, the different thresholds.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 39:35

  It wasn't on so.

  So right now, Sally has her hand.

- SH Sally Hoekstra 39:37
- CH Cynthia Hilton 39:38 We're we're gonna.
- Chambers, Jeffrey 39:41 Well, I mean it's it's worth.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 39:42 Ohh yeah it was.
- SH Sally Hoekstra 39:42

Will it really, really quickly?

Just this may be in the policy and I'm I'm sorry if it already is.

So what happens when if you were awarding a contractor at, let's say at 245,000 and then you have a \$7000 change order is it does then that move him move that contractor into prevailing wage or is it the basis of the base contract and then you have to go back and give them a change order for all the work prior to that, how's that work?

CJ Chambers, Jeffrey 40:19 Yeah.

I I I'd have to have go to legal advice for that.

I don't know really what that is, but generally I would assume that that you know, if you think it's going to be based on what you think the project is value is you know and what the bid comes in would confirm that.

So, I mean, you're only gonna use this use non wage rates for small projects and probably in general you're going to have wage rate contractors bidding your projects in general from now on even the smaller ones other than you know our job contractors and those folks you know like the one contractor I was talking about, he just won't price anything if he comes in.

He says pricing is 300,000.

He's talking to give us price, so kind of really what it comes down to is is, you know,

contractors do wage rates so they don't do wage rates and you know some of our job contractors and some of our small contractors that we work with do do wage rates they they do it either way, umm.

And so that's not gonna affect anything per se.

But bottom line is you're gonna have any contractor that doesn't do wage rates at all. That does only private work.

They're probably not going to get into government work right now.

For instance, we had two bidders.

# CH Cynthia Hilton 41:38

### Chambers, Jeffrey 41:39

Umm, you know, they're that mostly do private work.

Umm.

And and they may do wage rates or may not.

But the point is, you're gonna have fewer people bidding your project if they're an open shop that doesn't do wage rates.

The official wage rates, then, then you would have fewer people bidding it. So again, that's another reason why you can bump costs up if you have less competition.

In recent years, we've only had two or three contractors bidding projects.

You know, theoretically if if you could go down to one lately, we've been having more than that, drew.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 42:18

OK.

Jeff, can I?

Can I just cause I'm trying to be mindful of the clock here.

Can I just make a general statement and then unless unless someone has a new point to make but Kateri, we're gonna go back to you, to restate your motion or amend it however you want?

I have a sense that I I'm just gonna throw this out for you to think of.

OK, Kerry, bed that we would support this thing, but I think it would be prudent if we listed a some concerns that we have and make a statement something like we we

want to monitor this to see how it is playing out because clearly they can amend a resolution just because they pass it.

It's not like the Constitution, but I'm throwing it back to to you other than David.

Do you have anything to contribute before we hear from Kateri again on our motion

that we'll vote on?

#### GD Goodman, David 43:19

Yeah, I the the only thing I was gonna have is I was thinking this may not really warrant a supplementary letter.

Umm, I mean our, our our concerns are that perhaps we don't that we're concerned that we might get less competitive bids as a result of doing this. Umm.

Is that probably all we really like?

What else?

What else would we have in this letter?

Umm, that's probably the biggest issue, right?

#### CH Cynthia Hilton 43:55

Well, OK, let me answer that because I am.

I am interested as saying something simply because this is in our wheelhouse and like I started off, even if it's a one sentence comment, we support this period.

I I think I think we I for one would like us to say something now.

Everybody can disagree with me, but anyway, Kateri, would you like to make a motion?

### KG Kateri Garcia 44:20

Yeah.

OK so I amend my motion that we align with the 2:50 with.

For that, we we do not recommend the 500, so back to the motion resolution is is I was just drafting it up.

So my motion is that the fact draft, a letter in support of the resolution and promotion of Fair labor practices and compensation on public projects with concerns, and then say something about with comments about the adoption, the resolutions.

Sorry, I'm typing it up right now.

The leisure lutions impact to the MCMM budget and the ability to fulfill capital

projects.

And then I don't know.

However you wanna write it, Cynthia. But something.

Yes, that we will continue to monitor or some.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 45:06

Just to monitor it, because we have some of these.

Potential concerns that may not pan out.

I want everybody to understand that we'll monitor it.

It may be that there is no wrinkles.

OK.

Do I have a second for that motion?

Uh David has given a second.

GD Goodman, David 45:26

Yep, I'll second that.

CH Cynthia Hilton 45:28

OK.

All in favor say aye, I.

GD Goodman, David 45:32 Aye.

Larnard, Zachary 45:33 Aye.

HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 45:34 l've.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 45:34

OK, anyone now is your chance.

If you are opposed to this, put your hand up so that we can.

OK.

Kateri.

You're OK.

Your hands down.

OK, so I don't see any negatives anywhere.

Umm, so thank you very much.

We will.

We will get drafting a letter and.

Uh, for the most part on the policies.

I'm just looking to Zach or new vice chair.

He's the and these policy kinds of things you can talk to me afterwards, Jeff.

# KG Kateri Garcia 46:13

#### CH Cynthia Hilton 46:13

I mean, Zach, if you want to.

Reconsider that.

But anyway, thank you all.

OK.

So we're up to liaison reports and Speaking of Zach, will you?

He's our liaison to JPEG.

Anything to report there?

### Larnard, Zachary 46:33

I have been catching up on watching all the JFAC all the previous JFAC meetings and the main thing in in the recent meetings has been again, they had quite a discussion about the prevailing wage as well.

So I think they are having some of the same concerns and questions that we are.

## **CH** Cynthia Hilton 46:59

Okie Dokie and UM.

I also was a joined in those meetings and let's see, I had a couple of notes that I wanted to ohh my some of my takeaways were were that it was very interesting to me in the JPEG is to provide comment on the entire, you know, not just the APS CIP that the county CIP and I was struck by, Umm have virtually no time was devoted to what the county was and and so much time was devoted to umm almost.

I felt like redoing what our role is with regard.

I don't know if you picked that up, but that would certainly.

### Larnard, Zachary 47:45

Yeah, they they did spend a lot of time talking about the Career Center campus and the MPSA options as well.

### CH Cynthia Hilton 47:53

Yeah.

And just just to say to you all that you know, I would have.

Really enjoyed a discussion and you know the focused on things that that we had a joint rolls at with you know like a TJ and the Community Center and transportation issues and athletic fields.

Those are places that are just a screen for us to share with thoughts.

So anyway, that's a.

Cattery your handset.

## KG Kateri Garcia 48:33

Yeah, I was just gonna say I I didn't.

Look, I looked at like the at the briefing at least, and I was amazed also that they put together kind of that large briefing and I didn't really realize.

I mean I I guess we would have potentially supported you and putting together a briefing.

Had we known that that was gonna occur?

So, so apologies for not knowing and supporting you and maybe right next year we'll we'll be better.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 49:01 Thank you.

### Kateri Garcia 49:01

Maybe you should talk about some county projects in your briefing.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 49:05

Yeah.

OK, Rosa.

### RC Rosa Cheney 49:09

Yeah, I guess I was wondering.

So you know for who and for for maybe Zach and Cynthia, you guys had attended a number of JFAC meetings throughout the year as kind of like our liaison that was sitting on that, umm, group.

Is that right?

And I guess did they focus on schools a lot during the year, like all through or where they focusing on their own on county projects?

I say their own where they focusing on county projects throughout the year and then only focusing on schools now because of the CIP coming out or how did that, how did that play out?

Larnard, Zachary 49:49
I would say my my take on that is that they focus a lot on school projects all the time.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 49:59

And they tell me that they do that because this is a kudos to APS staff.

What I hear of cause I know you know several people that are on that, but that APS has data.

GD Goodman, David 50:12 And.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 50:14

They have stuff that that group can look at and so they want to get into, you know, what we do?

I've I've been told that javac wants to go visit the APS facilities the way we go to our facilities, to the extent that they want blueprints of buildings.

I I think this is just way, way beyond their their, whatever.

When anybody can you know, I I probably just need to keep my opinions to myself, but I will say that they were put together to do long range 10 plus years kind of planning and there are there are specifically told in their charter they are not to do

the work of about four or five Committees commissions, Jay.

I mean FAC is one of them.

They are if these list of Advisory groups have don't have consensus on something, then they are given a role to try to resolve that and problem.

But anyway, this year it's it's been a really surprising, OK, moving on from that, the big work.

Ohh I'm.

I'm the liaison to the BAC.

Nothing to report because they're meeting is on Wednesday, Hans.

## HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 51:43

OK.

So yeah, so yeah, just a real quick, I'm really almost more of a comment I guess on this whole JFAC.

## DD DePalma, Michael DePalma 51:44

Wait.

Hans has a question. Thanks.

### HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 51:54

Yeah, I'll say debacle.

Sorry, I was trying to say where do you and I I I think to me and I I don't I I don't know what happened last fall Kelly also had some issues with JFAC for sure uh before he left us and so I I think we need to really stay on top of that JPEG relationship I actually think it's interesting because we have we're a subcommittee of the school board right the school board has given us a charge in a lot of ways we get hamstrung a bit by the school board right even this whole here's.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 52:25 Yes.

## Hans Bauman (He/Him) 52:31

With deep dives and stuff, we went back and forth around that thing.

The school board gave us some parameters within which to work and think.

You both of those things, whereas JFAC is unfettered to a certain degree, and so it is

I I think they actually may very well have some interesting perspectives that are either outside of our comfort zone outside of our blinders, outside of our mandate that I think could be useful to us.

However, you don't do that by doing an end run report that goes on and on and on, focusing on a completely different approach from where we are.

I mean, you could have, they could have brought that to us and partnered with us and said, hey, we wanna go to FAC and talk to you guys about this CIP concerns we have and I don't get the impression they did anything like that.

So I I guess I'm I.

I feel like there's a lesson here that next year we need to.

Partner with them in a way that is more, you know, more regressive dance.

Partner with them or something and cause I I don't think it makes us look good to have them send a report to the county board and the school board that isn't complete misalignment with us at the same time that I think that actually they but have the potential to be an interesting point of information for us because they're not, they're mandate is differently than ours.

And I'm gonna show up now.

That's all I'd say.

CH Cynthia Hilton 53:55 Thank you, Rosa.

## RC Rosa Cheney 53:57

Umm yeah.

I mean, you know historically.

Umm, I would say we didn't come to agreement two years ago either.

And but with Jay Fax.

So I think the and then I don't think that they've been around forever.

So I'm not really sure how how long in advance of that we can talk, speak to or not. But I do think you know, like what you were saying, huh?

# CH Cynthia Hilton 54:21 That's.

# RC Rosa Cheney 54:25

And you know, kind of reminds me of just in general that like we are in Advisory Committee, right.

You know.

Umm, we're not supervisory.

We're not supposed to be checking, you know, somebody's work.

We're not supposed to be doing somebody else's work, and I'm when I say that I don't mean somebody else's Committee work.

I mean, you know, staff is paid to do their job.

The board is, you know, voted in to do their job and then we are in Advisory Committee, you know.

And so that to me, you know, I I feel like that's been somewhat hairy because when I see some of the other committees work, it does seem like they take on a a greater role than that, that they're trying to to do the work themselves, you know, so anyways.

### CH Cynthia Hilton 55:15

Well, thank you, Rosa, because that's that's clearly what I'm saying.

I mean, I'm I I'm the liaison to the to the budget committee.

They they.

I've never.

You know, seeing them, I mean, they've made recommendations that I've shared with you that kind of, you know, touch on our stuff.

But I've never seen them try to.

I don't know.

You know, do our stuff.

But anyway, wasting time now.

Kateri.

You're a handset.

# KG Kateri Garcia 55:40

Oh, I was just going to kind of say Palawan.

Just one more quick thing and and Michael, thanks for sending that that county sip. There's certainly plenty of things in there that I would be interested to to hear addressed.

I mean, and maybe that on Hans's to Hans's point, maybe that's something we go

into the beginning of next year with like, hey, JFAC, would you like to partner with us on some of these discussions?

I I mean certainly if they're looking at long range planning, yeah, what are the joint facilities that they could, you know that we could to talk with them about.

Also, one last thing, Zach, is that actually your background?

Because I thought you had kids.

Is that really your house?

- Chh, it's lovely isn't it?

  It is not.
- KG Kateri Garcia 56:20
- Larnard, Zachary 56:21 It's all fake.
- KG Kateri Garcia 56:21
  It's so clean and minimalist it I probably have children.
- Larnard, Zachary 56:23
  It's it's all it's all fake back here.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 56:25 Alright, alright, I'm.

I'm.

I'm.

I'm.

I'm.

I'm.

I'm regaining the thing here because we need to.

The biggest thing we're gonna do is our end of year report.

You had a draft on that Umm Michael, can you put that up and?

I am would welcome a motion that someone you know offer this as a, you know, A to

accept it or whatever, and then we'll have discussion if people want and amendments to it.

Anybody wanna make a motion like that?

#### Hans Bauman (He/Him) 57:03

I guess before just so you don't have to modify the motion, I feel like we've worked the letter a bit as a group over email.

Does anyone have any concerns with the letter as it currently stands, they'd like to voice before I make a motion.

- CH Cynthia Hilton 57:17 OK, Rosa.
- RC Rosa Cheney 57:21 Umm, I didn't.

# CH Cynthia Hilton 57:21

Minor my understanding just generally can I just say is that you need to have a motion to put to start the discussion.

So this is a motion about start the discussion.

## Hans Bauman (He/Him) 57:30

OK, I make I make OK.

I'll make a motion that we we adopt the letter as edited.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 57:38

OK.

Do I have a second for that?

And then we'll have a discussion and then we can we can change it.

And 2nd to that.

# RC Rosa Cheney 57:46

Yeah.

- CH Cynthia Hilton 57:47
  Nobody wants to 2nd that.
- SH Sally Hoekstra 57:48 I second it.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 57:50 OK.

Thank you.

Alright, Rosa.

Rc Rosa Cheney 57:53 OK.

Yeah.

So my I had no issues with the letter as a whole.

I think the only change I was gonna suggest is a small one that you know regarding the Sustainability Subcommittee.

There was interest obviously within the group.

CH Cynthia Hilton 58:06

Oh, OK, slow, slow, slow down.

Just a minute, Michael, can you bring up the thing and go to the paragraph on sustainability so that we can all follow along?

And I'm gonna ask anybody that wants to make changes they let's have specific changes here.

I no, I think you passed it.

I think I saw sustainability kind of floating by, I thought. Umm. Let's see.

Rosa Cheney 58:36

Maybe just do a word search.

CH Cynthia Hilton 58:38

Yeah, I do a word search, Michael.

So it can.

There it is.

RC Rosa Cheney 58:41 Yep.

CH Cynthia Hilton 58:41 OK, alrighty.

Yeah, Rosa, that it's there.

RC Rosa Cheney 58:48

OK.

Yeah, just the last sentence I think is not really correct.

I guess the last two sentences.

Sorry the 2nd to last sentence is not really correct.

There were in fact there were fact members that had interest.

I think it was more of the timing, just I didn't get it off the ground and and then when people, you know, when I was ready to do that, there wasn't as much interest or or commitment.

So so I just don't think that's accurate but but I don't really know how to reward it. So yeah, this is great.

CH Cynthia Hilton 59:20

OK.

So again I I will.

RC Rosa Cheney 59:21

Michael Michael is taking the words right out of my mouth.

Look at that.

CH Cynthia Hilton 59:25

OK.

Let me just say on stuff, if if I I I get the idea.

Rosa Cheney 59:27 Thank you.

### CH Cynthia Hilton 59:30

Rosa, if I, Michael, you do that in the comment thing and if I find a slightly see whatever.

But I I get the idea Rosa.

Thank you.

# RC Rosa Cheney 59:39 Yep.

# Hans Bauman (He/Him) 59:39 So.

So because it's my motion, can I just say I I'd like to replace the sentence that's highlighted with Michael's edit.

- Cynthia Hilton 59:49
  Can I can I before you get there?
- Hans Bauman (He/Him) 59:51 Sure.

# CH Cynthia Hilton 59:51

Because I also it's.

So this is we're discussing it in in general on.

So we're not ready for a motion to the for the final thing, so I know I have something I wanna say.

Does anybody else before I go OK?

### Kateri Garcia 1:00:05

Wait, quick question on procedures, so he can't motion to accept that it just as we're going.

Cynthia Hilton 1:00:12

No, cause we'll get through the whole thing.

And then because right now, we're just having a discussion right now.

KG Kateri Garcia 1:00:18
OK, got it.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 1:00:18

This is open for discussion and then we will get to something where people have ceased wanting to make changes and then we'll go back to Hans to see, you know, or to anybody else that wants to make a motion.

OK.

Michael, could you go up?

There is a I had highlighted a section because some I think it was a cattery.

I think this was your recommendation.

Something about adding.

I think that's up towards the top as big yellow ZAP you just went.

OK.

So category you'll remember this about including observations.

And so I've been thinking about this.

What I would really like because.

Some there's there's a lot, and this could be really long if I have to write it into the text of this.

And then I thought, well, we could append all these things, but then it's really long. I would really appreciate it if everyone could just support we're going to put a link because all of these documents, all of these things that are being referenced, Michael is working with me and we're posting it on the resources page.

Our fact resources page so.

That's that's the change that I would like to make.

OK, now I'm if no one else has comments that they would like to recommend a Rose Kettering.

KG Kateri Garcia 1:01:42 Yeah, I am. And I do think it was me.

I added that I agree.

I think that's a not a bad idea my I just want there to be some kind of obviously output of all of our visits because I think it's a good opportunity for us to also show to these schools that we're supporting them and their time that they they take to show us around because obviously in most cases we're gonna be identifying deficiencies in the facility and maybe what works and and some of the challenges and that we saw them.

And so I just wanna make sure that we're giving them we're kind of formally thanking them, not only there, but also in our in our final report so.

### CH Cynthia Hilton 1:02:22

And I love you.

Il just Erick Cattery Il love Rosa too.

But anyway I I love you for that comment because that's why I decided to start the log.

I mean to, you know, keep that record, hopefully to help us and to and to not let these observations and whatnot get lost.

I'm just and this is not a full the other reason driving my thing.

It's not a full year's report because we only started this, you know, we've only had two meetings since we started this, so at least for this report, if we could do that, I would appreciate it.

And I agree with everything you said with that.

Hans, do you want to amend your motion or?

### Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:03:05

Yes, I yeah, I will accept the the two substantive edits that we discussed, absolutely.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 1:03:12

All right.

OK, we're calling the question all in favor, say yes.

# HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:03:19

- Larnard, Zachary 1:03:19 Yes.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:03:20 Yes, yes, yes, yes.
- SH Sally Hoekstra 1:03:20 Yes.
- GD Goodman, David 1:03:20 Yes, yes.
- Rasmussen, Adam 1:03:21 Yes, I.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:03:22 Ohh OK.
- RC Rosa Cheney 1:03:23 Aye.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:03:23

And the big thing, does anyone raise your hand?

Does anyone have a know or a an abstain?

Anybody hands up if I don't hear anything, would just say it was unanimous.

But those of us who are here, OK, I love you all.

I think this is a record.

Let me see.

I am.

I don't.

I don't see anybody is.

I don't see anybody who's with the public, but is anybody with the public that wants to make a catering?

### KG Kateri Garcia 1:03:57

I I'm obviously not with the public.

I just wanted to better understand.

Ohh, go back to A roll call.

OK.

How many people do we need to recruit in order to be a full body?

I know we were.

### CH Cynthia Hilton 1:04:09

Oh yeah, so this we are getting.

We're public comment.

We're not to this is where new business and actually under new business that's gonna come up.

KG Kateri Garcia 1:04:17
Of ohh perfect.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 1:04:18

OK.

So all that so yeah, so thank you.

**KG Kateri Garcia** 1:04:20 Well, I set you up.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 1:04:24

Right now I'm just trying to see if there's any public comment.

I don't see anybody from the public, and so I'm gonna say we don't have anything there.

So other new business, we have 7 vacancies that I know about and I never saw if Steve was able to join.

But I also want to do a shout out to David Goodman, who will be leaving us and just, David, where are you?

Are you still here somewhere?

I don't see your little picture, but just to to thank you as well.

David and I came on at the same time.

Umm.

So anyway, 7 vacancies and so anybody who wants to to you know, in have friends have contacts of people that you know their voice would be helpful to our discussions.

- GD Goodman, David 1:05:15 lt's.
- Cynthia Hilton 1:05:19
  I hope you will encourage them to apply.
  Umm.
  Kateri, did you wanna add anymore to that?
- Kateri Garcia 1:05:28

  No, I just.

  So how many do we need for a quorum?

Again, I know we asked.

- Cynthia Hilton 1:05:33

  How it OK?

  It's just it's just half of however many members.
- KG Kateri Garcia 1:05:36 Ohh OK.
- Cynthia Hilton 1:05:37

  So we're at 11, so we have to have six.

  And if we were at 15 or full complement, we would have to have 8.
- KG Kateri Garcia 1:05:40 OK.

OK.

And then that is based on how many we have starting. It doesn't like shrink when people leave.

CH Cynthia Hilton 1:05:53

Oh, no, no, no.

It shrinks.

It shrinks based on how many we we have.

KG Kateri Garcia 1:05:55 Uh, OK. O OK.

Cynthia Hilton 1:05:59

So we've had resignations and stuff, so that is shrunk the number that we need, yeah.

Kateri Garcia 1:06:03 Got it.

- HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:06:05 So how many?
- Kateri Garcia 1:06:05

  And that's also why we kicked people off who didn't show up.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:06:09 Yes, OK.
- SH Sally Hoekstra 1:06:10

  You ever you ever typing that.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:06:10
  And now just Hans Sally.
  Yes, Sally.
- SH Sally Hoekstra 1:06:14

  Sorry Roberts.

  Typing that in the age out is not necessarily correct.

- Cynthia Hilton 1:06:15
  And then.
  What?
- SH Sally Hoekstra 1:06:21 Who is typing that?
- HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:06:23 Michael.
- DePalma, Michael DePalma 1:06:24
  That's me.
  I thought I can go to my master sheet.
  I was just going based off of memory.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:06:28 Oh, no, no, no.

Michael Michael.

No, no, no.

One asked you to make those changes.

Please don't.

Don't do that.

No.

Umm, please remove.

Don't put any edits in this thing that we have not approved, please.

- DePalma, Michael DePalma 1:06:42
  Well, this is my copy, so I'll.
- Cynthia Hilton 1:06:45

  Is this is ohh this is your copy instead of my report.
- SH Sally Hoekstra 1:06:49
  But but I.

- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:06:49 I mean, I'm sorry.
- DePalma, Michael DePalma 1:06:49
  This is.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:06:49

  Not our report.

  Our end of year report.
- DePalma, Michael DePalma 1:06:52 Yeah, yeah, this is.
- SH Sally Hoekstra 1:06:52

  But there were people.

  There were people who were you had indicated, were aging out that aren't aging out.
- KG Kateri Garcia 1:07:01 Like you.
- DePalma, Michael DePalma 1:07:02

  So I was not prepared to discuss any of this information at this time.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:07:03
  OK.
  OK, OK.
  Yeah.
  Please.
  Uh Sally.
- DePalma, Michael DePalma 1:07:07 So.

CH Cynthia Hilton 1:07:08

Sally, I will.

I will.

I will work with with Michael on this.

OK did it.

HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:07:14
So I I.

DePalma, Michael DePalma 1:07:14
I can go to the master list.

SH Sally Hoekstra 1:07:15

That's fine.

I'm just saying.

Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:07:17

In the spirit of what I I think Kateri was asking, I'm trying to understand there's a list there of 15 people, some of whom are no longer on the committee.

So how many people are actually of the that are currently about 11 remaining there is that everybody on the committee there?

CH Cynthia Hilton 1:07:36

Not only we have 11 on the committee.

Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:07:38

Or how many of those 11?

How many are continuing next year?

CH Cynthia Hilton 1:07:41

Alright, so we already asked that and I've already told you we're losing three of the 11.

HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:07:47

So we're down to 8, which means at any given meeting to keep Quorum, if we don't bring on any new members, we need have four people.

CH Cynthia Hilton 1:07:49
Yeah

We need to have.

- RA Rasmussen, Adam 1:07:57 5.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:07:59
  We need to have six.
  We have no. You're right.
  We're gonna lose 3.
- RA Rasmussen, Adam 1:08:03 Five, it's 5.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:08:03

We're down to yes, but the application process is open and I have been told that there are pending applications, so I can assure the group by September we will have some new Members.

HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:08:05

Five.

One more than half.

But.

CH Cynthia Hilton 1:08:17

I don't know how many new members, but we will have some and that will change Kateri again, it'll be half of however many Members we have.

HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:08:21 Thank you.

# KG Kateri Garcia 1:08:30

Hey there.

I'm sorry, just I can't thank you for clarifying.

And then just to remind me, when does the school board approve our people? It's like this summer sometime, right?

So they would come back in our first meeting is in August, September.

### CH Cynthia Hilton 1:08:43

Uh, I'm gonna.

I'm gonna go to Michael on this.

I actually had a conversation.

On the school board staff, I think it was with a a Carmen Michael.

I think it was that throughout the summer the school board will be appointing.

They just did at their last meeting.

Some people for some other Advisory Committee, so through out the summer they will be.

RA Rasmussen, Adam 1:09:08 It's rolling, it's.

CH Cynthia Hilton 1:09:12 I'm sorry.

# RA Rasmussen, Adam 1:09:13

It's rolling.

You're basically saying it's a rolling process that that that approved as they as they come up.

## CH Cynthia Hilton 1:09:16

Yes, yes, yes, yes.

That's Kateri.

If you have another comment.

KG Kateri Garcia 1:09:25

I'm trying to be really good about raising my hand versus just talking, so I just want everyone to give me into pause for that.

OK.

The so.

So I was gonna suggest or make a motion that we write a letter of thank you to Mary for being our FAC liaison from the school board.

I don't know.

I I mean the fact that the previous year the person came never and she came to almost every meeting.

I would like to recognize her for that, and I think, you know, sending a letter to the school board and whoever I think in would be, I would think we should do that.

HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:10:06 Is that?

# CH Cynthia Hilton 1:10:06

Uh, thank you.

So I I wanna say I wanna say we did not talk but back in back in had she been here. And I think this is the first meeting that she has missed since she was appointed. Umm that the I was gonna thank her.

And it also reminds me Rosa and Steve, if you were here, you are getting.

I have requested you're getting certificates of appreciation from the board for your service.

Thank you.

But Kateri, since she isn't here, we should send a letter.

Kateri Garcia 1:10:46 Yeah.

And I think it'll settle standard for whoever is our next person.

CH Cynthia Hilton 1:10:46
Is everything.

KG Kateri Garcia 1:10:50

That like, hey, the how good Mary did.

It's like if only you could be as good as her.

#### CH Cynthia Hilton 1:10:56

Yeah.

Would you like to do a draft?

I mean, I'm.

I'm suggesting that you do a draft of this letter.

Thank you, darling.

# KG Kateri Garcia 1:11:06

For but it it might underwhelm and then you can fix it.

#### CH Cynthia Hilton 1:11:10

Yeah.

OK, fine.

OK.

So, does anybody have anything else for the good of the group or any objection to any of the things that we are proposing to do now is your time to say whatever you wanna say other than that?

I like to make a motion that we adjourn really early and we need a second.

### DePalma, Michael DePalma 1:11:35

Can I just add one more clarification to Sally's comment earlier? I put it in the chat, I put it in the chat.

# CH Cynthia Hilton 1:11:40

Yes.

### DD DePalma, Michael DePalma 1:11:44

OK, so Steven is end of term, Rosa is Endia term.

So that brings us down to 9.

And then David is not seeking.

So that brings us to 8 and I just want to share with everyone.

This document, which is right here so everyone's aware.

FAC should be composed of no less than nine, OK.

So just making sure everyone knows.

I'm sure we'll have plenty of applicants in order to fill those slots, but I just want everyone to understand that and that's all.

CH Cynthia Hilton 1:12:28 OK.

Thank you for that clarification that that really that was that was important.

- RASMussen, Adam 1:12:30 Thank you, Michael.
- HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:12:31
  There's Michael.
- RC Rosa Cheney 1:12:32 OK.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:12:34

  OK, I love you all.

  Have a wonderful summer.
- HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:12:36

One last thing I I just wanna say thank you to Michael for putting up with us and all these meetings and all of the stuff you, Michael.

KG Kateri Garcia 1:12:40 Yeah.

Thank you, Michael, polling after class.

- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:12:41 Yeah.
- HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:12:45
  Thank you.

- RC Rosa Cheney 1:12:45 Yeah, absolutely.
- KG Kateri Garcia 1:12:46 Thank yeah.
- Cynthia Hilton 1:12:46
  We all know that we can't do anything.
- Rosa Cheney 1:12:47
  Thanks to Michael to to Jeff, Renee, Kathy, everybody.
- Cynthia Hilton 1:12:49
  Where's where's?
  Yeah, Renee had to drop off that.
- HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:12:51

  Quite old stuff.

We couldn't exist without him.

- Cynthia Hilton 1:12:53

  That Kathy, please, please tell people we love.

  We love Michael.

  We couldn't.
- Lin, Catherine 1:12:59 Yeah.

So Michael is key.

So I'm very glad that you recognized him.

He does a lot and and your support, so thank you.

Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:13:08
Yeah.

- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:13:08 Yeah.
- HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:13:09 Thank you, Michael.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:13:09 OK.
- Kateri Garcia 1:13:10 Thank you, Michael.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:13:10 Love you all.
- DePalma, Michael DePalma 1:13:10
  Alright, so I get to have dinner.
- KG Kateri Garcia 1:13:11 Love you, Michael.
- HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:13:11
  I have a good summer.
- DePalma, Michael DePalma 1:13:13
  Thanks everyone, it's.
- HB Hans Bauman (He/Him) 1:13:13
  Everybody have a great summer.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:13:14
  Have a great summer.

- RC Rosa Cheney 1:13:14
  I good luck next year.
- Lin, Catherine 1:13:15

  Pye, thank you.
- DePalma, Michael DePalma 1:13:16

  Bye.
- RA Rasmussen, Adam 1:13:16
  Every summer.
- CJ Chambers, Jeffrey 1:13:16
  We'll still be here.
- CH Cynthia Hilton 1:13:18
  Bye.
- DePalma, Michael DePalma stopped transcription